(b) Semi-Coking Coal Grade—I Semi-Coking Coal Grade—II	Six rupees and fifty paise only per tonne.
(c) Non-Coking Coal Grade—A Non-Coking Co^l Grade—B	Six rupees and fifty paise only per tonne.
(d) Ungraded run of Mine Coal produced in the State of Meghalaya.	One hundred twenty rupees only per tonne.
///. Group—III Coals:	
(a) Coking Coal Washery Grade—IV	Five rupees and fifty paise only per tonne.
(b) Non-Coking Coal Grade-C	
IV. Group—W Coals:	
(a) Non-Coking Coal Grade—D	Four rupees and thirty paise only per tonne.
(b) Non-Coking Coal Grade—E	
V. Group—V Coals:	
(a) Non-Coking Coal Grade-F	Two rupees and fifty paise only per tonne.
(b) Non-Coking Coal Grade-E	

Reports of Provident Fund Sub-Committees

1382. SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA: Will the Minister of LABOUR be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government has considered the reports of the Provident Fund Sub-Committee;- and

(b) if so, what is the reaction of Government thereto?

THE MINISTER OF LABOUR (SHRI P.A. SANGMA): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The recommendations of the Sub-Committee relating to setting up of Special Police Units, strengthening of supervision over the exempted establishments, speeding up of the computerisation process etc. have been accepted by the Government and forwarded to the concerned authorities for implementation. The remaining recommendations require further examination in consultation with other Ministries/Departments.

Non-Implementation of Schemes of

Production by Sugar Mills

1383. DR. BAPU KALDATE: Will the Minister of FOOD be pleased to state :

(a) whether a large number of sugar mills having letters of intent, have not implemented their schemes for production of sugar upto a fixed target for a long time;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) the action taken proposed to be taken against the erring sugar mills?

THE MINISTER OF FOOD (SHRI AJIT SINGH): (a) to.(c) As on 30.6.1995, "135 letters of intent for establishment of new sugar factories and 163 letters of intent for expansion in the existing Sugar Units were pending implementation. The state-wise and sector wise break up of these letters of intent is given in statement I & II, respectively, *(see* below)

The letters of intent are issued with a validity period of 3 years within which the entrepreneurs are required to comply with their conditions. Out of 135 letters of intent pending for establishment of new sugar mills, 75 have been issued during the sugar season 1993-94, (October-September). The balance 60, which were issued prior to Sugar Season 1993-94, are at various stages of implementation. Similarly, out of 163 letters of intent pending implementation for expansion in the existing units, 34 letters of intent have been issued during the 8th Plan (1992-93 to 1996-97). The progress of these pending letters of intent is reviewed from time to time.

During 1993-94, 11 letters of intent granted for establishment of new sugar factories and 6 letters of intent issued for expansion in the existing sugar factories were treated as lapsed, as their progress was not found to be satisfactory.

During the Sugar .Season 1994-95 till date show cause notices have been issued to four sugar factories for non compliance of the conditions of letters of intent. We are examining letters of intent which were issued more than 3 years age and are reviewing their progress.

Statement - I

Sector-wise Break-up of Letters of Intent/Industrial Licences Issued for Establishment of New Sugar Factories and are Pending Implementation.

(As on 30.6.1995)

SI. No.	State	Pvt. Sector	Pub. Sector	Coop. Sector	Total
Prior to Vllth Plan:—		1			1
1.	Uttar Pradesh	1	—	—	1
2.	Manipur	—	1	—	1
	Total	1	1	—	2
Vllth Plan:—					
1.	Tamil Nadu	—	—	2	2
2.	Maharashtra	—	—	26	26
3.	Uttar Pradesh	1	—	2	3
4.	Dadra nagar & hgveli	—	—	1	1
5.	Orissa	2	—	—	2
6.	Karnataka	—	—	3	3
7.	Andhra Pradesh	—	1	—	1
8.	Gujarat	—	—	7	7
9.	Madhya Pradesh	2	—	—	2
10.	Pondicherry	1	—	—	1
	Total	6	1	41	48

SI. No.	State	Pvt. Sector	Pub. Sector	Coop. Sector	Total
During the Year 1990-91:—					
1.	Punjab	—	1	1	2
2.	Orissa	2	—	—	2
3.	Uttar Pradesh	2	—	—	2
4.	Maharashtra	—	—	2	2
5.	Haryana	1	—	—	1
6.	Gujarat	—	-—	1	1
	Total				10

During the Year 1993-94:—

1.	Haryana	3	—	1	4
2.	Uttar Pradesh	25	—	1	26
3.	Madhya Pradesh	2	—	—	2
4.	Gujarat	—	—	2	2
5.	Maharashtra	—	—	17	17
6.	Bihar	1	—	—	1
1:	Orissa	—	1	—	1
8.	Andhra Pradesh	15	—	—	15
9.	Karnataka	**J**	—	4	5
10	Tamil Nadu	2	—	—	2
	Total	49	1	25	75
	Grand Total	61	4	70	135

Statement-II

Sector-wise Break up of Letters of Intent/Industrial Licences issued for Expansion in the Existing Units and are Pending Implementation.

(As on 30.6.95)'

SI. No.	State	Pvt. Sector	Public Sector	Coop. Sector	Total
Vth Plan & Prior to VHth Plan:-					
1.	Bihar	1	—	—	1
2.	Punjab	—	—	1	1
3.	Maharashtra	—	—	2	2
4.	Uttar Pradesh	2	1	—	3
	Total	3	1	3	7
***VII**th Plan:*					
1.	Haryana	—	—	1	1
2.	Orissa	—	—	1	1
3.	Gujarat	—	—	2	2
4.	Bihar	1	—	—	1
5.	Karnataka	3	—	5	8
6.	Tamil Nadu	2	—	3	5
7.	Punjab	—	—	2	2
8.	Maharashtra	—	—	17	17
9.	Andhra Pradesh	3	2	3	8
10.	Uttar Pradesh	10	23	15	48
11.	Madhya Pradesh	2	—	—	2
	Total	21	25	49	95

SI. No.	State	Pvt. Sector	Public Sector	Coop. Sector	Total
During the Year 1990-91					
1.	Punjab	1	1	1	2'
2.	Gujarat			3	3
3.	Uttar Pradesh			2	2
4.	Nagaland				1
	Total	1	1	6	8
During the Year 1991-92					9
					1
					2
					1
1.	Maharashtra		—	9	2
2.	Uttar Pradesh			1	2
3.	Andhra Pradesh			2	1
4.	Karnataka	2		1	1
5.	Bihar			2	
6.	Haryana			1	
7.	Tamil Nadu			1	
8.	Gujarat				
	Total	2	—	17	19
VIIIth Plan					
During the year 1992-93:					
1.	Orissa	1	—		1
2.	Uttar Pradesh	1		1	2
	Total	2	—	1	3
During the year 1993-94:—					
1.	Uttar Pradesh	6			6
2.	Punjab	1			1
3.	Maharashtra			6	6
4.	Tamil Nadu	1			1
5.	Madhya Pradesh			1	1
6.	Rajasthan	1			1
7.	West Bengal	1	1		2
8.	Bihar	3			3
9.	Gujarat			1	1
	Total	13	1	8	22

SI. No.	State	Pvt. Sector	Public Sector	Coop. Sector	Total
During the Year 1994-95 (As on 30.6.95)			—		
1.	Uttar Pradesh				3
2.	Haryana	3		1	1
3.	Maharashtra			4	4
4.	Tamil Nadu	1			1
	Total	4	—		9
	Grand Total	46	28	5 89	163

Development of Rural Areas in Gujarat

1384. SHRIMATI ANANDIBEN JETHABHAI PATEL: Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have formulated any new programmes for the development of rural areas in the country, particularly in the State of Gujarat;

(b) if so, the names of the blocks in Gujarat State have been identified under the programme;

(c) the details of the works proposed to be taken up by Government under the programmes; an,d

(d) the amount allocated for the programmes in the State during the current financial year?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI GIRIDHAR GAMANG): (a) to (c) No new programmes have been introduced by the Government in the current year for the development *of* rural areas in the country, including the State of Gujarat. However, the on-going Centrally Sponsored Schemes of proverty alleviation are as follows:

1. Integrated . Rural Development Programme (IRDP).
2. Jawahar Rozgar Yojana (JRY).
3. I-JRY
4. Employment Assurance Scheme (EAS).

They are being implemented in the whole country^. includiiJg the State of Gujarat.

(d) The allocation for these Centrally Soonsored Schemes for the State of Gujarat in the year 1995-96 is:

		(Rs. in lakhs)
1.	IRDP	3059.22
2.	JRY	11532.18
3.	I-JRY	1938.75
4.	EAS	As the scheme is demand-driven, funds are released on the basis of utilisation of rescurces available. Rs. 3930 lakhs have been released as 1st instalment to the State for implementing EAS.

Migration of Labourers from Bihar

1385. SHRI JANARDAN YADAV: Will the Minister of LABOUR be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware of the large-scale migration of labour Force